

REMARKS

The application includes claims 13-15, 17-18, 20, 21, 38-40, 42-44, 50, 51, 53-55, 57-65, and 67-72 before entering this amendment.

The applicants amend claims 13 and 38.

The application includes claims 13-15, 17-18, 20, 21, 38-40, 42-44, 50, 51, 53-55, 57-65, and 67-72 after entering this amendment.

The applicants do not add new matter and respectfully request reconsideration.

Claim Rejections Under § 103

The examiner rejected claims 13-18, 20, 21, 38-40, 42-44, 50, 51, 53-55, 57 58-65, and 67-72 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Rate Control for Robust Video Transmission Over Burst-Error Wireless Channels (Hsu), Birru et al. (U.S. Patent 7,206,352), Abiko et al. (U.S. Patent 6,807,363) Zetts et al. (U.S. Patent 7,212,726) and Ozkan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,055,270).

The examiner rejected claims 59, 60, 68, and 69 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over AAPA in view of Hsu, Birru, Abiko, Zetts, Ozkan, and Keesman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,606,369).

The examiner rejected claims 62 and 71 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over AAPA in view of Hsu, Birru, Abiko, Zetts, Ozkan, Keesman, and Nakase et al. (U.S. Patent 5,742,361).

The examiner rejected claims 61 and 70 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over AAPA in view of Hsu, Birru, Abiko, Zetts, Ozkan, Keesman, and Cisneros (U.S. Patent 5,130,984).

The applicants traverse the rejections particularly as they have amended the claims and at least for the reasons that follow.

In the latest office action, examiner Huber recommended clarifying the contents of the video block with regard to the input and output video segments.¹ In particular, examiner Huber recommended "specifying that the input bitstream comprises an input video segment, that the plurality of output bitstreams each comprise an output video segment whose content represents the input video segment [as in claim 38], and that the input and output video segments are incorporated into the 'video block' [as in claim 13]."² The applicants have amended independent claims 13 and 38 to incorporate the examiner's recommendations.

¹ Office action mailed 1/25/2010, page 10.

² Id.

Conclusion

The applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of claims 13-15, 17-18, 20, 21, 38-40, 42-44, 50, 51, 53-55, 57-65, and 67-72. The applicants encourage the examiner to call if an interview would further prosecution.

Customer No. 73552

Respectfully submitted,

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP



Graciela G. Cowger
Reg. No. 42,444

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP
621 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205
(503) 224-2170